

§ Difference Quotients

The differentiability of PDE solutions may be deduced through considering their difference quotients.

Let  $u: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $e_i =$  unit coordinate vector in  $x_i$ -direction.

Define

$$\Delta^h u(x) = \Delta_{e_i}^h u(x) = \frac{u(x + he_i) - u(x)}{h}, \quad h > 0.$$

Lemma 1. Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then  $\Delta^h u \in L^p(\Omega')$  for all  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  satisfying  $h < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$  and

$$\|\Delta^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq \|D_i u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Suppose initially that  $u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\Delta^h u(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h D_i u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + \xi, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) d\xi$$

so that by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta^h u(x)|^p &\leq \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |D_i u(x - \cdot)| d\xi \right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |D_i u(x - \cdot)|^p d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega'} |\Delta^h u|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{B_h(x')} |D_i u|^p dx d\xi \leq \int_{\Omega} |D_i u|^p dx$$

then an approx. argument gives the result for  $W^{1,p}$ -functions

$$B_h(x') = \cup_{x \in \Omega'} \{y : |y - x| < h\}$$

Lemma 2. Let  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and suppose there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|\Delta^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq K$$

for all  $h > 0$  and for all  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  satisfying  $h < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ . Then the weak derivative  $D_i u$  exists and satisfies  $\|D_i u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K$ .

Proof. By weak compactness of the unit ball in  $L^p(\Omega')$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , there exists  $h_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $v \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $\|v\|_p \leq K$  s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi \Delta^{h_j} u \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi v \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Now for  $h_j < \text{dist}(\text{supp } \varphi, \partial\Omega)$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi \Delta^{h_j} u \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \bar{\Delta}^{h_j} \varphi \, dx \rightarrow - \int_{\Omega} u D_i \varphi \, dx.$$

Hence,  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi v \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} u D_i \varphi \, dx$

from which we get  $v = D_i u$ .  $\square$

### § Weak solutions

Consider an operator  $L$  of the form

$$Lu = \partial_j (a^{ij} \partial_j u),$$

where  $a^{ij}$  are measurable functions on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We say  $u$  satisfies  $Lu = 0$  in a weak sense if

$$(H) \quad B(u, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \partial_j u \partial_i \varphi \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

NB:  $\varphi$  is called a test function.

We assume that

(i)  $L$  is strictly elliptic in  $\Omega$ , i.e. there exists  $\lambda > 0$  s.t.

$$a^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ \& \& a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

NB:  $\lambda$  is called the ellipticity constant.

(ii)  $L$  has bounded coefficients, i.e. for some  $d > 0$ ,

$$|a^{ij}(x)| \leq d \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Condition (ii) implies that

$$|B(u, \varphi)| \leq \int_{\Omega} |a^{ij} \partial_j u \partial_i \varphi| \, dx \leq c \|u\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}}.$$

Thus the map  $\varphi \mapsto B(u, \varphi)$ , for fixed  $u \in W^{1,2}$ , is a bounded linear functional on  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

So if (H) holds for  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ , then (H) holds for  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}$ .

Theorem. Let  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  be a weak solution of  $Lu = f$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $L$  is strictly elliptic,  $a^{ij}$  are uniformly Lipschitz continuous and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Then for any subdomain  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ , we have  $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega')$  and

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega')} \leq c (\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

for some  $c = c(n, \lambda, k, d')$ , where  $d' = \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$

and  $k = \max_{i,j} \|a^{ij}\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})}$ .

Proof of Theorem.

Let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$  and take

$$\varphi = \Delta^{-k} (\eta^2 \Delta^k u) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ for } |k| < \frac{1}{2} \dim(\text{supp } \eta, \partial\Omega).$$

Then by the weak formulation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} b \varphi \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \partial_j u \partial_i \varphi \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \underbrace{\Delta^k (a_{ij} \partial_j u)}_{= 2\eta \partial_j \eta \Delta^k u + \eta^2 \partial_j \Delta^k u} \underbrace{\partial_i (\eta^2 \Delta^k u)}_{= 2\eta \partial_i \eta \Delta^k u + \eta^2 \partial_i \Delta^k u} \, dx \\ &= a_{ij}(x+k e_i) \Delta^k \partial_j u(x) + \Delta^k a_{ij}(x) \partial_j u(x) \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\Delta^k(\eta g)(x) = \eta(x+k e_i) \Delta^k g(x) + \Delta^k(\eta g)(x)$ )

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} b \varphi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} b \Delta^{-k} (\eta^2 \Delta^k u) \, dx \\ &\leq \|b\|_2 \|\Delta^{-k} (\eta^2 \Delta^k u)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|b\|_2 \|\Delta^{-k} (\eta^2 \Delta^k u)\|_2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}) \\ &\leq c \|b\|_2 (\|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 + \|\eta^2 \Delta^k u\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

We also estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x+k e_i) \eta^2 \Delta^k \partial_j u \Delta^k \partial_i u \, dx \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\eta \Delta^k u|^2 \, dx$$

(by the ellipticity condition)

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Delta^k a_{ij}(x) \partial_j u(x) \eta^2 \partial_i \Delta^k u \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} K |a| |\eta^2 \partial_i \Delta^k u| \, dx \\ &\leq K \|a\|_2 \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Delta^k (a_{ij} \partial_j u) (2\eta \partial_i \eta \Delta^k u) \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} K (|\eta \Delta^k u| + |a|) |\eta \Delta^k u| \, dx \\ &\leq c \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 (\|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 + \|a\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2^2 &\leq c \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 (\|a\|_2 + \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 + \|b\|_2) \\ &\quad + c \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 (\|a\|_2 + \|b\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

Then by using Young's inequality (i.e.  $ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + c(\epsilon)b^2$ ) we get

$$\lambda \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2 \leq c (\|a\|_2 + \|b\|_2 + \|\eta \Delta^k u\|_2)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\| \partial_y \delta^h u \|_2 \leq \sup |b_y| \| \partial u \|_2$$

so that we conclude

$$\| \eta \delta^h \partial u \|_2 \leq c \left[ (1 + \sup |b_y|) \| \partial u \|_2 + \| b \|_2 \right].$$

Now by choosing a cut-off function  $\eta$  such that  $\eta = 1$  on  $\mathcal{S}' \subset \subset \Omega$  and  $|b_y| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{d}$ ,  $d = \text{dist}(\mathcal{S}', \partial \Omega)$ , we find by Lemma 2 that  $\partial u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}')$ ,  $\forall \mathcal{S}' \subset \subset \Omega$ , and the desired estimate holds.  $\square$