

## EXISTENCE: DIRECT METHODS

§ The model case: Dirichlet integrand

Theorem. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Lipschitz domain and  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

Then

$$\min \left\{ I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \right\} = m$$

has one and only one solution  $u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

Furthermore,  $u$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

## Proof of existence

1. (Compactness) As  $u_0 \in u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  we have

$$0 \leq m \leq I(u_0) < +\infty.$$

Now let  $(u_j) \subset u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  be a minimizing sequence of (1), i.e.  $I(u_j) \rightarrow \inf I = m$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

By Poincaré inequality,  $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$  s.t.

$$\sqrt{2I(u_j)} = \|Du_j\|_{L^2} \geq c_1 \|u_j\|_{W^{1,2}} - c_2 \|u_0\|_{W^{1,2}}.$$

As  $(u_j)$  is a minimizing sequence and  $m < +\infty$  we deduce that there exists  $c_3 > 0$  s.t.

$$\|u_j\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq c_3.$$

Therefore  $\exists u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and subsequence  $(u_{j_k})$  s.t.

$$u_{j_k} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W^{1,2} \text{ as } j_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. (lower semicontinuity) [This is independent of  $(u_j)$  being a min. sequence].

First note that

$$\begin{aligned} |Du_j|^2 &= |Du|^2 + 2 \langle Du, Du_j - Du \rangle + |Du_j - Du|^2 \\ &\geq |Du|^2 + 2 \langle Du, Du_j - Du \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$I(u_j) \geq I(u) + \int_{\Omega} \langle Du, Du_j - Du \rangle da$$

and as  $Du \in L^2$  and  $Du_j \rightharpoonup Du$  in  $L^2$  we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \langle Du, Du_j - Du \rangle da = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} I(u_j) \geq I(u)$$

and so

$$m \leq I(u) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} I(u_j) = m. \quad \square$$

## Proof of uniqueness

Assume there exists  $u, v \in u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  s.t.  $I(u) = I(v) = m$ .

By convexity,

$$0 \leq m \leq I\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} I(u) + \frac{1}{2} I(v) = m.$$

This implies  $\frac{1}{2} |Du|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |Dv|^2 - \left|\frac{Du+Dv}{2}\right|^2 = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Then by strict convexity of  $\xi \mapsto |\xi|^2$  we obtain  $Du = Dv$  a.e. and as  $u = v$  on  $\partial\Omega$  we conclude  $u = v$  in  $\Omega$ .

## § A general existence theorem

Theorem. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Lipschitz domain and  
 $f \in C^0(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\phi = \phi(x, u, \xi)$ , satisfy

(H1)  $\xi \mapsto \phi(x, u, \xi)$  is convex  $\forall (x, u) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$  [Convexity]

(H2) There exists  $p > q \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_1 > 0$  &  $\alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}$   
 such that [Coercivity]

$$\phi(x, u, \xi) \geq \alpha_1 |\xi|^p + \alpha_2 |u|^q + \alpha_3, \quad \forall (x, u, \xi) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

then  $\inf \left\{ I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(x, u, \nabla u) dx : u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\}$ ,

where  $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  with  $I(u_0) < +\infty$ , has a unique  
 minimiser  $u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Furthermore, if  $(x, \xi) \mapsto \phi(x, u, \xi)$  is strictly convex  
 for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then the minimiser is unique.

Remarks. It is possible to weaken the continuity of  $\phi$   
 since it is enough to require  $\phi$  being a  
 Carathéodory function

(i.e.  $x \mapsto \phi(x, u, \xi)$  is measurable  $\forall (u, \xi)$   
 and  $(u, \xi) \mapsto \phi(x, u, \xi)$  is continuous a.e.  $x$ )

e.g. the above theorem does not apply to  
 functionals of the form

$$\phi(x, u, \xi) = \phi(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2},$$

since  $\phi$  satisfies (H2) only when  $p=1$ .

e.g. (Weierstrass)

$$\inf \left\{ I(u) = \int_0^1 \phi(x, u') dx : u \in X \right\} \quad (w)$$

where  $\phi(x, \xi) = x \xi^2$  and  $X = \{ u \in W^{1,2}(0,1) : u(0)=1, u(1)=0 \}$

- (H2) satisfied only with  $\alpha_1 = 0$

-  $W^{1,2}(0,1) \subset C^{1/2}(0,1)$  and  $\rightarrow$  must be continuous

Hence this e.g. shows (w) has no min. in  $X$ !